

Exhibit 1

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

_____)	
In re:)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Chapter 11
)	
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
_____)	

**ORDER SUSTAINING RESCAP BORROWER CLAIMS TRUST'S
OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 3695 FILED ON
BEHALF OF ROSALIND ALEXANDER-KASPARIK**

Upon the objection [Docket No. 9402] (the “**Objection**”) and the supplemental objection [Docket No. ____] (the “**Supplemental Objection**”)¹ of the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust (the “**Borrower Trust**”) established pursuant to the terms of the confirmed Plan filed in the Chapter 11 Cases, as successor in interest to the above-captioned debtors (collectively, the “**Debtors**”) with respect to Borrower Claims, to Proof of Claim Number 3695 (the “**Proof of Claim**”) filed on behalf of Rosalind Alexander-Kasparik, seeking entry of an order (the “**Order**”) pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rule 3007(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, disallowing and expunging the Proof of Claim on the basis that the Debtors have no liability with respect to the Proof of Claim, all as more fully set forth in the Objection and the Supplemental Objection; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Objection and the Supplemental Objection and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Objection and the Supplemental Objection and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Supplemental Objection.

and sufficient notice of the Objection and Supplemental Objection having been provided; and upon consideration of the Objection and the Supplemental Objection; and the Court having found and determined that the relief requested in the Objection and the Supplemental Objection is in the best interests of the Borrower Trust, the Borrower Trust's beneficiaries, and all parties in interest; and the Court having found and determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and the Supplemental Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection and Supplemental Objection is sustained to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Proof of Claim is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, is directed to disallow and expunge the Proof of Claim such that it is no longer maintained on the Debtors' Claims Register; and it is further

ORDERED that the Borrower Trust is authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that notice of the Objection and Supplemental Objection as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such objections, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a), the Case Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket No. 141], the Procedures Order, and the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to the Proof of Claim; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2016
New York, New York

THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE